CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

## SENATE BILL 5582

Chapter 344, Laws of 2005

59th Legislature 2005 Regular Session

SEXUALLY VIOLENT PREDATORS--CHANGE IN DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS

EFFECTIVE DATE: 5/09/05

Passed by the Senate March 9, 2005 YEAS 47 NAYS 0

BRAD OWEN

President of the Senate

Passed by the House April 11, 2005 YEAS 96 NAYS 0

FRANK CHOPP

Speaker of the House of Representatives

Approved May 9, 2005.

CERTIFICATE

I, Thomas Hoemann, Secretary of the Senate of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is **SENATE BILL 5582** as passed by the Senate and the House of Representatives on the dates hereon set forth.

THOMAS HOEMANN

Secretary

FILED

May 9, 2005 - 3:46 p.m.

CHRISTINE GREGOIRE

Governor of the State of Washington

Secretary of State State of Washington

## SENATE BILL 5582

Passed Legislature - 2005 Regular Session

**By** Senators Regala, Hargrove, Stevens, Carrell, Franklin, McAuliffe and Kohl-Welles

59th Legislature

State of Washington

Read first time 01/28/2005. Referred to Committee on Human Services & Corrections.

1 AN ACT Relating to the use of demographic factors in proceedings 2 under chapter 71.09 RCW; amending RCW 71.09.090; creating a new 3 section; and declaring an emergency.

4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

5 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 1. The legislature finds that the decisions in 6 In re Young, 120 Wn. App. 753, review denied, \_\_\_\_ Wn.2d \_\_\_\_ (2004) and 7 In re Ward, \_\_\_\_ Wn. App. \_\_\_\_ (2005) illustrate an unintended 8 consequence of language in chapter 71.09 RCW.

The Young and Ward decisions are contrary to the legislature's 9 10 intent set forth in RCW 71.09.010 that civil commitment pursuant to chapter 71.09 RCW address the "very long-term" needs of the sexually 11 12 violent predator population for treatment and the equally long-term needs of the community for protection from these offenders. 13 The legislature finds that the mental abnormalities and personality 14 15 disorders that make a person subject to commitment under chapter 71.09 RCW are severe and chronic and do not remit due solely to advancing age 16 or changes in other demographic factors. 17

18 The legislature finds, although severe medical conditions like 19 stroke, paralysis, and some types of dementia can leave a person unable

2005 Regular Session

p. 1

to commit further sexually violent acts, that a mere advance in age or 1 2 a change in gender or some other demographic factor after the time of commitment does not merit a new trial proceeding under RCW 71.09.090. 3 To the contrary, the legislature finds that a new trial ordered under 4 5 the circumstances set forth in Young and Ward subverts the statutory focus on treatment and reduces community safety by removing all 6 7 incentive for successful treatment participation in favor of passive aging and distracting committed persons from fully engaging in sex 8 offender treatment. 9

10 The Young and Ward decisions are contrary to the legislature's intent that the risk posed by persons committed under chapter 71.09 RCW 11 will generally require prolonged treatment in a secure facility 12 13 followed by intensive community supervision in the cases where positive treatment gains are sufficient for community safety. The legislature 14 has, under the guidance of the federal court, provided avenues through 15 16 which committed persons who successfully progress in treatment will be 17 supported by the state in a conditional release to a less restrictive alternative that is in the best interest of the committed person and 18 19 provides adequate safeguards to the community and is the appropriate next step in the person's treatment. 20

21 The legislature also finds that, in some cases, a committed person 22 may appropriately challenge whether he or she continues to meet the 23 criteria for commitment. Because of this, the legislature enacted RCW 24 71.09.070 and 71.09.090, requiring a regular review of a committed 25 person's status and permitting the person the opportunity to present evidence of a relevant change in condition from the time of the last 26 27 commitment trial proceeding. These provisions are intended only to provide a method of revisiting the indefinite commitment due to a 28 relevant change in the person's condition, not an alternate method of 29 collaterally attacking a person's indefinite commitment for reasons 30 31 unrelated to a change in condition. Where necessary, other existing 32 statutes and court rules provide ample opportunity to resolve any concerns about prior commitment trials. Therefore, the legislature 33 intends to clarify the "so changed" standard. 34

35 **Sec. 2.** RCW 71.09.090 and 2001 c 286 s 9 are each amended to read 36 as follows:

37 (1) If the secretary determines that ((either: (a))) the person's

condition has so changed that <u>either: (a) The person</u> no longer meets 1 2 the definition of a sexually violent predator; or (b) conditional release to a less restrictive alternative is in the best interest of 3 the person and conditions can be imposed that adequately protect the 4 5 community, the secretary shall authorize the person to petition the court for conditional release to a less restrictive alternative or б unconditional discharge. The petition shall be filed with the court 7 and served upon the prosecuting agency responsible for the initial 8 commitment. The court, upon receipt of the petition for conditional 9 10 release to a less restrictive alternative or unconditional discharge, shall within forty-five days order a hearing. 11

12 (2)(a) Nothing contained in this chapter shall prohibit the person 13 from otherwise petitioning the court for conditional release to a less 14 restrictive alternative or unconditional discharge without the secretary's approval. The secretary shall provide the committed person 15 16 with an annual written notice of the person's right to petition the 17 court for conditional release to a less restrictive alternative or unconditional discharge over the secretary's objection. 18 The notice shall contain a waiver of rights. The secretary shall file the notice 19 and waiver form and the annual report with the court. If the person 20 21 does not affirmatively waive the right to petition, the court shall set a show cause hearing to determine whether probable cause exists to 22 warrant a hearing on whether  $((\div (i)))$  the person's condition has so 23 24 changed that: (i) He or she no longer meets the definition of a 25 sexually violent predator; or (ii) conditional release to a proposed less restrictive alternative would be in the best interest of the 26 27 person and conditions can be imposed that would adequately protect the community. 28

(b) The committed person shall have a right to have an attorney 29 represent him or her at the show cause hearing, which may be conducted 30 solely on the basis of affidavits or declarations, but the person is 31 32 not entitled to be present at the show cause hearing. At the show cause hearing, the prosecuting attorney or attorney general shall 33 present prima facie evidence establishing that the committed person 34 continues to meet the definition of a sexually violent predator and 35 that a less restrictive alternative is not in the best interest of the 36 37 person and conditions cannot be imposed that adequately protect the 38 community. In making this showing, the state may rely exclusively upon

p. 3

the annual report prepared pursuant to RCW 71.09.070. The committed person may present responsive affidavits or declarations to which the state may reply.

(c) If the court at the show cause hearing determines that either: 4 (i) The state has failed to present prima facie evidence that the 5 committed person continues to meet the definition of a sexually violent 6 7 predator and that no proposed less restrictive alternative is in the best interest of the person and conditions cannot be imposed that would 8 adequately protect the community; or (ii) probable cause exists to 9 believe that the person's condition has so changed that: (A) The 10 person no longer meets the definition of a sexually violent predator; 11 or (B) release to a proposed less restrictive alternative would be in 12 13 the best interest of the person and conditions can be imposed that 14 would adequately protect the community, then the court shall set a hearing on either or both issues. 15

(d) If the court has not previously considered the issue of release to a less restrictive alternative, either through a trial on the merits or through the procedures set forth in RCW 71.09.094(1), the court shall consider whether release to a less restrictive alternative would be in the best interests of the person and conditions can be imposed that would adequately protect the community, without considering whether the person's condition has changed.

(3)(a) At the hearing resulting from subsection (1) or (2) of this 23 24 section, the committed person shall be entitled to be present and to 25 the benefit of all constitutional protections that were afforded to the person at the initial commitment proceeding. The prosecuting agency or 26 27 the attorney general if requested by the county shall represent the state and shall have a right to a jury trial and to have the committed 28 person evaluated by experts chosen by the state. The committed person 29 shall also have the right to a jury trial and the right to have experts 30 evaluate him or her on his or her behalf and the court shall appoint an 31 32 expert if the person is indigent and requests an appointment.

33 (b) If the issue at the hearing is whether the person should be 34 unconditionally discharged, the burden of proof shall be upon the state 35 to prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the committed person's 36 condition remains such that the person continues to meet the definition 37 of a sexually violent predator. Evidence of the prior commitment trial 38 and disposition is admissible.

p. 4

(c) If the issue at the hearing is whether the person should be 1 2 conditionally released to a less restrictive alternative, the burden of 3 proof at the hearing shall be upon the state to prove beyond a 4 reasonable doubt that conditional release to any proposed less restrictive alternative either: (i) Is not in the best interest of the 5 committed person; or (ii) does not include conditions that would 6 7 adequately protect the community. Evidence of the prior commitment 8 trial and disposition is admissible.

(4)(a) Probable cause exists to believe that a person's condition 9 has "so changed," under subsection (2) of this section, only when 10 evidence exists, since the person's last commitment trial proceeding, 11 12 of a substantial change in the person's physical or mental condition 13 such that the person either no longer meets the definition of a sexually violent predator or that a conditional release to a less 14 restrictive alternative is in the person's best interest and conditions 15 can be imposed to adequately protect the community. 16

17 (b) A new trial proceeding under subsection (3) of this section may 18 be ordered, or held, only when there is current evidence from a 19 licensed professional of one of the following and the evidence presents 20 a change in condition since the person's last commitment trial 21 proceeding:

22 (i) An identified physiological change to the person, such as 23 paralysis, stroke, or dementia, that renders the committed person 24 unable to commit a sexually violent act and this change is permanent; 25 or

26 (ii) A change in the person's mental condition brought about 27 through positive response to continuing participation in treatment 28 which indicates that the person meets the standard for conditional 29 release to a less restrictive alternative or that the person would be 30 safe to be at large if unconditionally released from commitment.

31 (c) For purposes of this section, a change in a single demographic 32 factor, without more, does not establish probable cause for a new trial 33 proceeding under subsection (3) of this section. As used in this 34 section, a single demographic factor includes, but is not limited to, 35 a change in the chronological age, marital status, or gender of the 36 committed person.

37

(5) The jurisdiction of the court over a person civilly committed

1 pursuant to this chapter continues until such time as the person is 2 unconditionally discharged.

3 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 3. If any provision of this act or its 4 application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the 5 remainder of the act or the application of the provision to other 6 persons or circumstances is not affected.

7 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> Sec. 4. This act is necessary for the immediate 8 preservation of the public peace, health, or safety, or support of the 9 state government and its existing public institutions, and takes effect 10 immediately.

> Passed by the Senate March 9, 2005. Passed by the House April 11, 2005. Approved by the Governor May 9, 2005. Filed in Office of Secretary of State May 9, 2005.